Stability of Random-Field and Random-Anisotropy Fixed Points at large N

Pierre Le Doussal and Kay Jörg Wiese

CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex, France. (Dated: February 6, 2008)

In this note, we clarify the stability of the large-N functional RG fixed points of the order/disorder transition in the random-field (RF) and random-anisotropy (RA) O(N) models. We carefully distinguish between infinite N, and large but finite N. For infinite N, the Schwarz-Soffer inequality does not give a useful bound, and all fixed points found in Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 197202 (2006) (cond-mat/0510344) correspond to physical disorder. For large but finite N (i.e. to first order in 1/N) the non-analytic RF fixed point becomes unstable, and the disorder flows to an analytic fixed point characterized by dimensional reduction. However, for random anisotropy the fixed point remains non-analytic (i.e. exhibits a cusp) and is stable in the 1/N expansion, while the corresponding dimensional-reduction fixed point is unstable. In this case the Schwarz-Soffer inequality does not constrain the 2-point spin correlation. We compute the critical exponents of this new fixed point in a series in 1/N and to 2-loop order.

The random field (RF) and random anisotropy (RA) N-vector model is studied by expanding around the 4-dimensional non-linear σ -model [1]. To this aim consider O(N) classical spins $\vec{n}(x)$ with N components and of unit norm $\vec{n}^2=1$. To describe disorder-averaged correlations one introduces replicas $\vec{n}_a(x)$, $a=1,\ldots,k$, the limit k=0 being implicit everywhere. This gives a non-linear sigma model, of partition function $\mathcal{Z}=\int \mathcal{D}[\pi]\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{S}[\pi]}$ and action:

$$S[\pi] = \int d^d x \left[\frac{1}{2T_0} \sum_a [(\nabla \vec{\pi}_a)^2 + (\nabla \sigma_a)^2] - \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_a M_0 \sigma_a - \frac{1}{2T_0^2} \sum_{ab} \hat{R}_0 (\vec{n}_a \vec{n}_b) \right], \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{n}_a=(\sigma_a,\vec{\pi}_a)$ with $\sigma_a(x)=\sqrt{1-\vec{\pi}_a(x)^2}$. A small uniform external field $\sim M_0(1,\vec{0})$ acts as an infrared cutoff. The ferromagnetic exchange produces the 1-replica part, while the random field yields the 2-replica term $\hat{R}_0(z)=z$ for a bare Gaussian RF. Random anisotropy corresponds to $\hat{R}_0(z)=z^2$. As shown in [1] one must include a full function $\hat{R}_0(z)$, as it is generated under RG. It is marginal in d=4.

Recently, we have obtained results at 2-loop order [3], and large N for the ferromagnetic to disorder transition. In Ref. [2] the authors argue that the large-N fixed points obtained by us (given after Eq. (10) in [3]) are unstable. Here we reply to their argument.

The authors of Ref. [2] correctly point out that the Schwartz-Soffer (SS) inequalities [4] put useful constraints on the phase diagram of the *random-field* O(N) model and its (subtle) dependence in N. In our Letter [3] we have studied the Functional RG at large N and obtained a series of fixed points indexed by $n=2,3\ldots$ where the disorder correlator $\hat{R}(z)$ (notations of [3]) has a non-analyticity at z=1. The n=2 fixed point (FP) has random field symmetry (RF) and n=3 has random anisotropy (RA) symmetry ($\hat{R}(z)$ even in z). In addition we found two infinite-N analytic fixed points which obey dimensional reduction. One of them ($\hat{R}(z)=z-1/2$) is the large-N limit of the Tarjus-Tissier (TT) FP [5] which exists for $N>N^*$ (at two loop we found

 $N^*=18-\frac{49}{5}\bar{\epsilon}, \bar{\epsilon}=d-4\geq 0$) and has a weaker and weaker "subcusp" non-analyticity as N increases. The question is which of these FPs describes the ferromagnetic/disordered (FD) transition at large N for $d\geq 4$.

First one should carefully distinguish: (i) strictly infinite $N=\infty$ from large but finite N, (ii) RF symmetry vs. RA. We have shown [3, 6] that for RF at $N=\infty$ physical initial conditions on the critical FD manifold converge to the n=2 FP if the bare disorder is strong enough $(r_4>4$ in [3]). Hence for $N=\infty$ all these non-analytic (NA) FPs are consistent. One can indeed check that they correspond to a positive probability distribution of the disorder since all $\hat{R}^{(n)}(0)$, the variances of the corresponding random fields and anisotropies, are positive – a condition hereby referred to as physical. Furthermore the SS inequality does not yield any useful constraint at $N=\infty$ because it contains an amplitude itself proportional to \sqrt{N} .

Next, each of the above FPs can be followed down to finite N, within an 1/N expansion performed to a high order in Ref. [6, 7]. It yields (to first order in $\bar{\epsilon}=d-4$) the critical exponents $\bar{\eta}(n,N)$ and $\eta(n,N)$ to high orders in 1/N. One finds that the n=2 FP acquires a negative $\hat{R}'(0)$ at order 1/N, $\hat{R}'(0)=-\frac{3}{4}\frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{N^2}+O(\frac{1}{N^3})$; hence it becomes unphysical at finite N, a fact consistent with the violation of the SS inequality $\bar{\eta}\leq 2\eta$ correctly pointed out in [2]. A natural scenario for RF symmetry, as we indicated in our Letter [3], is that the FRG flows to the TT FP for any finite $N>N^*$. However, as we discussed there, if bare disorder is strong enough, it may approach the TT FP along a NA direction, since these arguments relied only on blowing up of R''''(0) $(R(\phi)=\hat{R}(z=\cos(\phi)))$.

A very interesting point, missed in Ref. [2], is that the SS inequalities do not constrain the 2-point function of the spin $S^i(x)$ for random anisotropy disorder (it only constrains the 2-point function of $\chi_{ij}(x)=S^i(x)S^j(x)$ as disorder couples to the latter). Furthermore we find [6, 7] that the n=3 random anisotropy FP (which reads $NR(\phi)/|\epsilon|=\frac{9}{8}\left(2\cos(\phi)\cos(\frac{\phi+\pi}{3})+\cos(\frac{\pi-2\phi}{3})-1\right)$ in the $N=\infty$ limit) $remains\ physical\ for\ finite\ N.\ Denoting\ <math>\hat{R}(z)=\bar{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{R}(z)$ with $\mu=\frac{1}{N-2}$ and $y_0=\tilde{R}'(1)$, we obtain the follow-

ing expansion to $O(\bar{\epsilon})$ for the exponents $\eta=y_0\bar{\epsilon}/(N-2)$, $\bar{\eta}=(\frac{N-1}{N-2}y_0-1)\bar{\epsilon}$, where

$$y_0 = \frac{3}{2} + 23\mu - \frac{1750\mu^2}{3} + \frac{2129692\mu^3}{27} - \frac{13386562376\mu^4}{1215} + \frac{2004388412086052\mu^5}{1148175} - \frac{107423933633514594598\mu^6}{361675125} + \frac{66496428379374257425781597\mu^7}{1253204308125} + O(\mu^9)$$
(2)

and all coefficients in the expansion of $\hat{R}^{(n)}(0)$ near z=0 remain indeed positive, e.g.:

$$\tilde{R}'(z) = \left[\frac{70\mu}{9} + 1\right]z + \left[\frac{1192\mu}{243} + \frac{4}{27}\right]z^3 + \left[\frac{4384\mu}{2187} + \frac{16}{243}\right]z^5 + \left[\frac{68608\mu}{59049} + \frac{256}{6561}\right]z^7 + \left[\frac{3735040\mu}{4782969} + \frac{14080}{531441}\right]z^9 + O(z^{11})$$

Finally, for the 1/N expansion of the *analytic* (DR) FP corresponding to RA we obtain (with $y_0 = 1$):

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \frac{z^2}{2} + \left(-\frac{3}{2} + 4z^2 - 2z^4\right)\mu + \dots,$$
 (3)

hence it becomes *unphysical* at finite N [8]. The scenario is thus the opposite of the RF case: The NA FP n=3 is the only one physical at large N (it exists for $N>N_c=9.44121$) and has precisely one unstable eigenvector (within

the RA symmetry) as expected for the FD transition. Using our 2-loop result [3] we further obtained, up to $O(\mu^2)$: $y_0=\frac{3}{2}+23\mu+\left(9\gamma_a-\frac{97}{4}\right)\mu\bar{\epsilon},~\eta=\mu(\frac{3}{2}\bar{\epsilon}+\bar{\epsilon}^2(3\gamma_a-\frac{27}{8}))$ and $\bar{\eta}=\frac{\epsilon}{2}+\mu(\frac{49}{2}\bar{\epsilon}+\bar{\epsilon}^2(9\gamma_a-\frac{203}{8}))$, where γ_a was defined in [3].

Our conclusion is thus that the random anisotropy FP smoothly matches to our solution n=3 at $N=\infty$ and remains non-analytic for all N, breaking dimensional reduction. It does not exhibit the TT phenomenon which seems a peculiarity of the RF class. It is further studied in [6, 7].

We thank Florian Kühnel for useful discussions and acknowledge support from ANR program 05-BLAN-0099-01. We also thank Gilles Tarjus for pointing out that similar results were independently obtained in [9].

- [1] D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985) 7233.
- [2] Y. Sakamoto, H. Mukaida, and C. Itoi, Comment on [3], submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett. (unpublished).
- [3] P. Le Doussal, K.J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 197202 (2006).
- [4] M. Schwarz and A. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 24992501 (1985).
- [5] G. Tarjus and M. Tissier, Phys. Rev. Letters, 93 (2004) 267008.
- [6] P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese to be published.
- [7] F. Khünel, P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese to be published.
- [8] Computing R''''(0) one finds that it exists for $N > N^*$ and corresponds to the *unstable* line in Fig. 3 of [3].
- [9] G. Tarjus and M. Tissier, cond-mat/0606698.